PGES Point of Contact Edition

January 2016

NGP Hot Topic

Student Voice Update: The third edition of the Student Voice Implementation Guide and the

Administrator How-To Guide have been posted to the <u>Student Voice website</u>. Inside, you'll find new information on the Student Voice Survey questions for other professionals and specific Infinite Campus technical guidance.

joyce.richards@education.ky.gov

3rd Edition of the Student Voice Implementation

At this time, the Student Voice Survey within Infinite Campus is ready to be configured. Districts may schedule

windows, employ Automated Assignment and assign survey generation start date(s). For more information, please contact Joyce Richards, 502-564-1479, ext. 4532,

Sample Resources for use with Student Voice: Created by Teacher Student Voice Quick Links: Leaders on Special Assignment (TLSA)

Links:

KDE Quick

Equity webpage

Title II webpage

Professional

Learning webpage <u>Teacher</u> <u>Leadership</u>

webpage

PGES webpages EDS webpage

@KyPGES @KyDeptofEd

KDE Facebook page

Guide

- The Administrator How-To Guide <u>Instructions on Automatic</u>
- and Manual Assignments **Instructions for Scheduling**

a Survey Window

- The Student Voice webpage
- Coach's Corner

Elementary School Student Voice rubric for lesson

Student Voice elementary sample lesson plan

Student Voice middle/high sample lesson plan 1 Student Voice middle/high sample lesson plan 2 Middle/High School

Tips for the new calendar year:

Teacher's Corner

Student Voice review activity

Refer to the district Certified Evaluation

tation

Plan regarding Student Voice implemen-

Tips for the new calendar year:

Run the Median Student Growth Summary Report in Infinite Campus to

Refer to the district Certified Evaluation

Plan regarding mid-year reviews

dian Student Growth Percentiles (MSGP) to educators

what mid-year conferencing entails.

determine school level attribution of Me-

be used and expectations.

Reflect on progress toward the

Professional Growth Goal Reflect on progress toward the Student Growth Goal and modify strategies as appropriate

Review the Student Voice Survey with

students. Educators may teach students the meaning of unfamiliar words, the

purpose of the survey, how the results will

Reality

The process of a mid-year review may be enhanced by using a research-based cycle of continuous improvement such as Plan,

Mid-year reviews are a common practice, recommended by KDE.

Verify classroom rosters

Misconception

Mid-year reviews are

Mid-Year Review

required by KDE. The mid-year review conference is an opportunity to reflect on teaching practice and progress toward the Student Growth Goal (SGG) and Professional Growth Plan (PGP). The purpose of the mid-year review is to review data and provide support for successful attainment of educator goals.

How can principals accomplish the vital task of conducting mid-year reviews of progress for sustainable improvement in a way that does not add a layer of individual conferencing to already full schedules? Below is a table that offers some suggestions and removes misconceptions about

Do, Study, Act (PDSA). Determining who should be involved in the mid-year review Mid-year reviews must be conducted as one-on-one conference is based upon what is best for the individual situation. conferences between a For example, mid-year review for SGGs and/or PGPs may take teacher and an place in: administrator. group settings, such as a professional learning community teacher teams with an administrator present one-on-one conferences with a teacher and administrator If the development of the goals was initially vetted using a group structure, then using that same group setting might be especially effective.

Administrators and teachers must discuss a mandated set of "correct" questions during the mid-year review.

Mid-year reviews *may not* be conducted during

year.

other conferences that

take place at mid-year.

This is a perfect time of year to assess progress toward goals by examining data and evidence. Examination of Student Growth Goal (SGG) and Professional Growth Plan (PGP) data and evi-

the school's context/processes/procedures/structures.

Mid-year reviews may take place in one-to-one settings as part of a

Guiding discussion questions used during the mid-year review may be selected by the administrator. KDE has provided an

optional list of **sample** questions for consideration (see sample

Conference questions *may* be provided to teachers in advance

in order to enrich the conversations with prior reflection suitable

Principals *may* create questions or statement stems that best fit

pre- or post-observation conference occurring at a midpoint of the

4. What professional practices and decisions in your work have had the most influence on your ability to support your students to achieve growth? 5. How are students meeting or showing progress toward the growth and proficiency targets? 6. What patterns do you see in special populations of students? 7. How do the activities, materials and resources in the student growth plan align to the needs of the students, now that you have the new data? 8. What support do you need in order to successfully meet your Student Growth Goal and Professional Growth Goal?

- Growth and Effectiveness System (PGES). The **Education Professional Standards Board** (EPSB) worked with groups of partners from
- A requirement for initial certification, KTIP involves a focused collection of evidence, provided by the intern teacher, which clearly demonstrates his/her performance on a set of standards. Those standards include the Kentucky Teacher Standards, as well as the Kentucky Framework for Teaching. The KTIP evidence collection process is organized around the three cycles of the internship year. During the first two cycles, the committee (resource teacher, principal and teacher educator) formatively evaluates the intern's performance and provides feedback to reinforce strengths and address selected areas for professional growth. While formative assessments and feedback occur before recommended for certification.

the third cycle, it is during the third cycle that the intern's performance on each of the components of the Kentucky Framework for Teaching is summatively evaluated to determine if the intern will be To be recommended for certification at the end of the third cycle, the KTIP committee must come to a consensus on performance by rating each framework component as "Developing" or higher. (The four levels include: Exemplary, Accomplished, Developing and Ineffective). If a consensus cannot be reached, the committee uses majority rule. In the KTIP process, there are nine Sources of Evi-

tions and effectiveness measures will focus all stakeholders on how we in Kentucky prepare and sustain a highly effective teaching force. Teachers receiving the NGP newsletter PGES Point of Contact role assignment in Open House Principals can verify if teachers are receiving the Next Generation Professionals (NGP) All district PGES points of contact (POCs) Newsletter. All teachers in the state should should consult with their district web appli-

room teacher's impact on student learning. This consistent trajectory of teacher growth expecta-

cations administrator point of contact to ensure the PGES POC role is accurately assigned. **Upcoming webcasts**

dence may occur in a school-based Professional Learning Community (PLCs), personal Professional Learning Networks (PLN), or in mid-year conferences with the principal. The purpose of the reviews is to determine progress made toward the goals and make adjustments to instructional practices in order to reach them. Sample Questions for Mid-Year Review (Evidence should be provided when responding to the questions) 1. How has your Professional Growth Goal, as well as the strategies identified to reach the goal, caused you to change your professional practice?

2. How has this change impacted students? 3. In what areas do you need additional support?

Update from the Kentucky Teacher Internship Program (KTIP)

9. What are your next steps?

program. The most recent revision was made last year to align KTIP with the Professional

schools and districts, higher education, other state education agencies and the Kentucky Advisory Council for Internships to improve and streamline KTIP procedures and instruments. The new program was piloted in 21 districts dur-

questions below).

for group or one-to-one settings.

ognizing that this complex, demanding profession is learned over the course of several years of study, consultation and reflective practice. KTIP was created in 1985 and is in both state statute and administrative regulation. It has under-

gone multiple revisions over the years to stay relevant and effective as a support and mentoring

The Kentucky Teacher Internship Program (KTIP) is one of the longest running state internship programs in the country. The KTIP approach is grounded in a developmental view of teaching, rec-

ing the 2014-15 school year, and feedback was collected to clarify the process. In 2015-16, all districts are using the revised KTIP with their intern teachers.

dence that are specifically designed to provide the intern with the opportunity to demonstrate performance of the 22 framework components. The Sources of Evidence are the same as those in PGES, with detailed guidance and timelines provided for the intern. The recent KTIP revision allows the use of similar assessment measures and evidence so a candidate in a teacher preparation program will learn about the multiple measures and the framework, then put them to use in his/her internship year and subsequent teaching career. Performance assessment data can be used to improve teacher preparation programs and strengthen the class-

receive the newsletter through the state distribution list. If not, the district technology coordinator should be notified to ensure all teach-

er names are on the global list.

PGES Webcast - Thursday, January 28 at 9:30 a.m. ET.

To watch the above webcast live please use the following link: http://mediaportal.education.ky.gov/ watch-live/.